Manuel Alfredo Hernández Victoria Modesto Salvador Ponce Hernández, Sabás Álvarez Montalvo & Mara Armas Recio Depth, Weight, and Volume of Tomato Roots (*Solanum lycopersicon*) *cv. Piedro* in Greenhouse Conditions Agrisost[2018, Vol.24, No.2: pages: 110-114 ISSN 1025-0247 Available at http://revistas.reduc.edu.cu/index.php/agrisost/index

# Depth, Weight, and Volume of Tomato Roots (Solanum lycopersicon) cv. Piedro in Greenhouse Conditions

Manuel Alfredo Hernández Victoria<sup>1</sup> Modesto Salvador Ponce Hernández<sup>2</sup>, Sabás Álvarez Montalvo<sup>3</sup> & Mara Armas Recio<sup>4</sup>

Received: October 14, 2017

Accepted: April 17, 2018

# ABSTRACT

This research was done to determine the distribution of the root system of tomatoes as a way to perform more accurate agrotechnical conditions. It was conducted in San Pedro Pinampiro Canton, province of Imbadura, Ecuador, between July 10, 2015, and the second fortnight of January 2016. Monoliths were used to determine root distribution, considering the diameter, length, mass, and volume of roots between 0.10 and 0.40 m. The largest root volume was found in the 0-10 m deep profile.

KEY WORDS:/ Solanum lycopersicon, roots, greenhouse, tomato

# INTRODUCCION

The distribution of crop root systems is vital for effective irrigation, drainage, nutrition, and overall tilling, which lead to stable and cost-effective yields. Under favorable conditions, the root system of plants depends on its genetic condition; however, sensitive distortions may occur in the way or shape that roots are distributed in the soil when the conditions are adverse (Kramer, 1974). The volumes of water and nutrients absorbed by the root system are influenced by the physical and chemical conditions of soils (Eavis & Payne, 1968). Besides, root development depends on the characteristics of the soil medium, as well as the events that take place in the aerial parts of plants (Henin, Gras & Monnier, 1972). Normal growth and development of the root system of crops are considerably influenced by the proximity of static groundwater table toward the soil surface, the presence of compaction stratums, and the existence of toxicity (Gosnell, 1971 & Kong, 1968).

Tilling (fertilization, irrigation, herbicide use, etc.) also has a marked influence on crop root distribution (Montero & Antón, 1993). In Cuba, protected crop systems are an example of technology transference; these systems are designed to create an umbrella effect to offer protection to plants from excess of sun radiation and heavy rainfall (Casanova & Gómez, 1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Consultant teacher, Department of Agronomy, Ignacio Agramonte Loynaz: University of Camagüey: <u>manuel.hernandez@reduc.edu.cu</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ph.D., Technical Sciences, Associate professor, Department of Agronomy, Ignacio Agramonte Loynaz University of Camagüey: <u>modesto.ponce@reduc.edu.cu</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> M.Sc. in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, Ignacio Agramonte Loynaz University of Camagüey: <u>sabas.alvarez@reduc.edu.cu</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Agronomical Eng., Trainee, Department of Agronomy. Ignacio Agramonte Loynaz University of Camagüey: <u>mara.armas@reduc.edu.cu</u>

<sup>110</sup> Depth, Weight, and Volume of Tomato Roots (*Solanum lycopersicon*) *cv. Piedro* in Greenhouse Conditions Agrisost, 2018, Vol.24, No.2: pages: 110-114

Manuel Alfredo Hernández Victoria Modesto Salvador Ponce Hernández, Sabás Álvarez Montalvo & Mara Armas Recio Depth, Weight, and Volume of Tomato Roots (*Solanum lycopersicon*) *cv. Piedro* in Greenhouse Conditions Agrisost 2018, Vol.24, No.2: pages: 110-114 ISSN 1025-0247 Available at http://revistas.reduc.edu.cu/index.php/agrisost/index

According to authors who published their criteria in relation to depth of *Lycopersicon esculentum* root systems in the Caribbean, South America, and Europe, root depths are varied. For instance, during flowering and fruit formation they go 0.45-0.55 cm deep (Pacheco, Alonso, Pujol & Camejo, 2006). In Canton Pimampiro (Imbambura province, Ecuador), known as the land of tomatoes, greenhouse and protected crop houses are used today to cultivate different varieties of tomatoes. The overall yields achieved, however, do not correspond to the productive potential of the crop. One of the reasons for this result is the influence of faulty application of irrigation-fertilization procedures due to the absence of actual determination of the root system in the soil.

Therefore, the aim of this paper was to determine the weight and volume distribution of the root system of tomato by profiles, to favor greater precision of the main agrotechnical applications, namely, irrigation and fertilization.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The climatic variables of precipitation and temperature are shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. The root system of *Solanum Lycopersicon, Piedro* hybrid, with a determined growth habit, was analyzed under greenhouse conditions, with localized irrigation, drip irrigation, and planting distance (0.16 m x 0.32 m). Plantation took place on July 10, 2015 and harvest was performed on January 26, 2016, at an age of 189 days, 2.10 cm high. The experiment was made in Cantón Pimampiro, Imbaura province, Ecuador, 0°23′28'' north latitude, and 77°56′26'' west longitude, and 2 090 m above sea level. The crop was planted on bare soil with added organic matter (Soil Institute, 1975), whose chemical composition was characterized according to ISO/IEC 17025:2015.

The root study method used was the monolith, which was modified regarding size, by Franco & Inforzato (1946), and depth, by Krautman (1959). Three plants were selected linearly, 0.48 m long and 0.32 m wide, with  $0.1536 \text{ m}^2$  total vital space. The method included digging holes every 0.10 m, up to 0.40 m deep. The substrate and root samples were collected by 0.10 m profiles, in plastic bags, and labeled accordingly. The roots were washed, air dried, and weighed in a precision analytical balance (0.0001g) for further average calculation. The amounts present were determined, using an ocular micrometer (length and diameter by profiles); the water volume moved by the roots was calculated in a graduated flask.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of dry root distribution by monolith (summarized per soil horizon) are shown in Table 1

Depth (m)	Weight (g)	%		
0–0.10	128.0	61.83		
0.11-0.27	79.0	38.17		
>0.27-0.40	No roots we	re found		

Table 1. Depth, weight, and percentage of roots in the soil profile

Depth, Weight, and Volume of Tomato Roots (Solanum lycopersicon) cv. Piedro in Greenhouse Conditions Agrisost, 2018, Vol.24, No.2: pages: 110-114

**Table 1** shows the root weight values; the deeper the roots were in the soil, the lower the value observed. The absence of roots in the 0.27-0.40 m profile was significant; most roots were observed in the 0.10 m profile (61.83%).

The depth values achieved did not coincide with the values reported by Fuentes (2003), which may have been influenced by different research under various edaphic conditions, varieties, cycles, and agrotechniques.

The pivoting root is conical (8 mm diameter in the upper side and 3 mm in the lower area), and it is surrounded by a large amount of smaller roots of various diameters and lengths.

The abundance of roots in the top soil layer and their quick reduction as they go deeper (0.27-0.40 m) may be attributed, among other factors, to difficulties in the penetration of roots in the soil, due to unfavorable conditions, like apparent density, porosity limitations, restrictions, inner drainage, and possible oxygen restriction issues.

Depth	Diameter	Length	Amount
m	m	М	One
0-0.10	< 0.001-0.001	0.006-0.013	137
	>0.001-0.003	0.007-0.008	45
	>0.003-0.005	0.013-0.015	19
			Total 201
>0.10-0.27	>0.001-0.002	0.001-0.008	337
	>0.002-0.003	0.008-0.010	103
	>0.003-0.006	0.010-0.014	37
	>0.006	11-15	22
			Total 489
>0.27	00	00	

Table 2	Diameter	length	and	number	of	roots	in	the	profile
1 auto 2.	Diameter,	, iongin,	anu	number	01	10003	ш	unc	prome

Table 2 shows characterization of diameter, length, and number of roots in the profile. The 0.10 m profile had the thinnest roots. The total amounts by profiles were higher in the corresponding largest profile (0.10 m-0.27 m). No roots were observed in the +0.20-0.40 m profile. The water volume moved by the roots in the soil profile is shown in Table 3. The 0-0.10 m soil profile, with thinner roots and lower numbers, were heavier.

Depth m	Volume cm <sup>3</sup>	Per cent (%)
0–0.10	56.6	56.6
0.11-0.27	43.4	43.4
>0.27-0.40	0.0	
	$\Sigma$ 100	

Table 3. Root distribution and	percentage in	the soil profile
--------------------------------	---------------	------------------

According to J. Pineda (personal communication, February 7, 2015), knowing the total depth of the root system at harvesting allows to determine the value of the active layer's depth over time, according to the equation below,

# $Dp=1.8(\frac{t}{tc})(1.5-\frac{t}{tc})p$

Dp=Depth of the root system (m)

t=Time desired to know the depth of the root system (days)

tc=Duration of the crop cycle (days)

p=Total depth of roots (m)

This information facilitates a more accurate irrigation and drainage, since the depth of the root system can be determined over time or at a given crop's stage.

# CONCLUSIONS

Solanum Lycopersicon, Piedro hybrid only showed a root system in the 0-0.27 m profile in protected crop conditions.

The 0-0.10 m substrate profile showed fewer, thinner roots when compared to the 0.11-0.27 m profile, though they were heavier, which was evidenced by moving a greater water volume.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

To further the study the root system of *Solanum Lycopersicon* in its various stages, which will allow for more accurate agrotechnical actions with the ensued economic benefits.

# REFERENCES

- Casanova, M. & Gómez, O. (1997). *Cultivo protegido*. (Inf. Téc. No. 2). La Habana, Cuba: Ministerio de la Agricultura.
- Eavis, B. M. & Payne, D. (1969). Soil phisical condition and root growth. En R. Whittington. (Ed.), *Root Growth; Proceedings.* (pp. 315-336). New York: Plenum Press.
- Franco, C. M. & Inforzato, R. (1946). O Sistema radicular do cafeeiro nos principals tipos de solo do estado de Sao Paulo. *BRAGANTIA*, 6 (9), 443-478. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.scielo.br/pdf/brag/v6n9/01.pdf</u>

Depth, Weight, and Volume of Tomato Roots (Solanum lycopersicon) cv. Piedro in Greenhouse Conditions Agrisost, 2018, Vol.24, No.2: pages: 110-114

Manuel Alfredo Hernández Victoria Modesto Salvador Ponce Hernández, Sabás Álvarez Montalvo & Mara Armas Recio Depth, Weight, and Volume of Tomato Roots (*Solanum lycopersicon*) *cv. Piedro* in Greenhouse Conditions Agrisost[2018, Vol.24, No.2: pages: 110-114 ISSN 1025-0247 Available at http://revistas.reduc.edu.cu/index.php/agrisost/index

Fuentes Yagüe, J. L. (2003). Técnicas de riego. Madrid: Ediciones Mundi-Prensa.

- Gosnell, J. M. (1971). Some Effects of a Water-Table Level on the Growth of Sugarcane. *Proceedings of International Society of Sugarcane Technology*, 841-849. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.issct.org/pdf/proceedings/1971/1971%20Gosnell%20Some%20Effects%20of%20a%2</u> <u>0Water-Table%20Level%20on%20the%20Growth%20of%20Sugarcane.pdf</u>
- Henin, S., Gras, R. & Monnier, G. (1972). *El perfil cultural, estado físico del suelo y sus consecuencias agronómicas.* (18a. ed.). Madrid: Ed. Mundi Prensa.
- Kong, L. (1968). Effect of soil compaction on the growth of young cane plants. J. Chinese Agric. Chem. Soc., 6(3,4): 95-104.
- Kramer, P. J. (1974). *Relaciones hídricas del suelo y plantas: Una síntesis moderna*. (2da.ed). *México*: Ed. Edutex.
- Krautman, S. (1959). Observaciones do sistema radicular da Cana. IANE-C 46117 em solo da Baizada. *Bol. Tec. Inst. Agron.*, (6): 2531.
- Montero, J. I. & Antón, A. (1993). *Tecnología del invernadero*. Buenos Aires, Argentina: Ed. Instituto Nacional Tecnología Agropecuaria. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias. Universidad de Buenos Aires.
- Norma Internacional ISO/IEC 17025. Requisitos generales para la competencia de los laboratorios de prueba y calibración. (2015). Madrid, España: AENOR.

Pacheco, J. Alonso, N. Pujol, P & Camejo, E. (2006). Riego y Drenaje. La Habana: Ed. Félix Varela.

### **APPENDIXES**

Months	J	F	М	Ap	Му	Jn	Jl	Ag	S	0	N	D
Precipitations (mm)	17.2	41.7	38.2	131.9	99.2	29.4	48.0	31.8	72.2	49.5	116.4	82.9
Legend												
J: January	F: February		M: March			Ap: April						
My: May	Jn:	June		Jl: July		Ag: August						
S: September	O:	Octobe	er	N: November		D: December						

 Table 4. Precipitations

#### Table 5. Outdoor air temperature-Celsius degrees

Months	J	F	М	Ap	Му	Jn	Jl	Ag	S	0	N	D
Temperature in Celsius degrees	16.9	17.0	17.1	17.1	17.1	16.8	16.8	16.7	17.1	17.3	16.9	17.0