

Aquaculture

Original

Commercial Cuban feed evaluation for red tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) fry culture in seawater

Evaluación comercial de alimentos cubanos para el cultivo de alevines de tilapia roja (*Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus*) en agua de mar

Marian Mirabent Casals *[®], Sergio José Toledo Pérez **[®], Anabel Castro Ferrer **[®], Barbarito Jesus Jaime Ceballos **[®]

* Food and Development Research Center (CIAD), Mazatlán, Sinaloa, Mexico. ** Nutrition Bioassays Laboratory, Fisheries Research Center, Havana, Cuba. Corresponding author: <u>marianmirabent91@gmail.com</u>

Received: May 2020; Accepted: May, 2020; Published: June, 2020.

ABSTRACT

Background: Aquaculture slightly grew during last decade in Cuba due to nationally validated technologies and guaranteed market. **Objective.** To evaluate the effect of three commercial feeds (D10, D15 and D24, with 10, 15 and 24% inclusion of fishmeal, respectively) made in Cuba for freshwater aquaculture, in growth performance of red tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) fry adapted to seawater.

Methods: Feeds proximal composition was determined. It was achieved the adaptation of red tilapia fry to seawater during 10 days with gradual increase of salinity. A bioassay was carried out according to a completely randomized design with three treatments and three replicates each. A total of 360 red tilapias with an initial mean weight of 0.14 ± 0.06 g, were randomly put in nine 40 L plastic containers filled with seawater, at a density of 40 individuals per container. They were fed with the commercial feeds during 55 days. Data were analyzed using one way ANOVA and Tukey's test (p < 0.05) to multiple comparisons.

Results: The protein levels of D10 (29.19%), D15 (30.14%) and D24 (33.30%) were close to the value reported in the literature (30%) for hybrid larvae grown at 35‰. In the experimental design no significant differences were detected in final weight, specific growth rate, condition factor, feed conversion ratio and survival among the red tilapias fed with commercial diets. **Conclusion:** These results showed that the three commercial diets evaluated can be used to feed red tilapia fry adapted to marine environment. The use of D10 resulted in a lower cost for food.

Key words: diet, Tilapia nilotica, Tilapia mossambica, hybrids, marine environment (*Source: AIMS*)

Como citar (APA)

Mirabent Casals, M., Toledo Pérez, S., Castro Ferrer, A., & Jaime Ceballos, B. (2020). Commercial Cuban feed evaluation for red tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus) fry culture in seawater. *Revista de Producción Animal*, 32(2). https://revistas.reduc.edu.cu/index.php/rpa/article/view/e3425



©El (los) autor (es), Revisa de Producción Animal 2020. Este artículo se distribuye bajo los términos de la licencia internacional Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), asumida por las colecciones de revistas científicas de acceso abierto, según lo recomendado por la Declaración de Budapest, la que puede consultarse en: Budapest Open Access Initiative's definition of Open Access.

Commercial Cuban feed evaluation for red tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus) fry culture in seawater

RESUMEN

Antecedentes: La acuicultura creció ligeramente durante la década pasada en Cuba a causa de las tecnologías validadas nacionalmente y el mercado garantizado. **Objetivo.** Evaluar el efecto de piensos comerciales (D10, D15 y D24, con 10, 15 y 24 % de inclusión de harina de pescado, respectivamente) fabricados en Cuba para la acuicultura de agua dulce, en el crecimiento de larvas de tilapias rojas (*Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus*) adaptadas al ambiente marino.

Métodos: Se determinó la composición proximal de los piensos. Se adaptaron las larvas al ambiente marino durante 10 días con incremento gradual de la salinidad. Se realizó un bioensayo acorde a un diseño completamente aleatorizado con tres tratamientos y tres réplicas cada uno. Se sembraron al azar 360 larvas de tilapia roja con peso medio inicial de $0,14 \pm 0,06$ g, en nueve recipientes plásticos con 40 L de agua de mar, a una densidad 40 individuos por recipiente. Se alimentaron con los piensos durante 55 días. Los datos se analizaron mediante ANOVA simple y prueba de Tukey (p < 0,05) para comparaciones múltiples.

Resultados: Los niveles de proteínas de D10 (29,19 %), D15 (30,14 %) y D24 (33,30 %) fueron cercanos al valor del requerimiento reportado en la literatura (30 %) para larvas del híbrido cultivadas a 35‰. En el diseño experimental no se detectaron diferencias significativas (p > 0,05) en peso total, tasa de crecimiento específico, factor de condición, factor de conversión del alimento y en la supervivencia entre las tilapias rojas alimentadas con los piensos comerciales.

Conclusión: Estos resultados mostraron que los tres piensos comerciales evaluados se pueden usar para alimentar larvas de tilapia roja adaptadas al ambiente marino. La utilización del pienso D10 resultó en un menor costo por concepto de alimentación.

Palabras claves: dieta, Tilapia nilotica, Tilapia mossambica, híbridos, ambiente marino (*Fuente: AIMS*)

INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture is one of the activities with higher potential for improvement. In the last three decades, world aquaculture production tripled. Finfish, mollusks and crustaceans cultured both in continental waters and in marine-coastal zones, contributed the most to the increase (FAO, 2018).

Aquaculture slightly grew in Cuba during the last decade. In 2016, the production of freshwater species was 24.5 thousand tons, while the contribution of marine culture was five thousand tons, associated with the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (ONEI, 2017). In the 2008-2015 period, technological transfers were made for the farming of marine fish such as snapper (*Lutjanus analis*), common snook (*Centropomus undecimalis*) and cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*) (Flores-Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2016). At the moment, the increase of freshwater fish productions like cyprinids, African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*), tilapias (*Oreochromis sp*) and marine cultures (white shrimp and red tilapias) have been strengthening, because they use nationally validated technologies and have a guaranteed market.

Red tilapias became excellent candidates for aquaculture due to their biological, nutritional and organoleptic characteristics. They have a wide tolerance for variations in the physical-chemical parameters of water (they support hypoxia, eurythermic and euryhaline conditions), disease resistance, rapid growth and reproduction even in captivity (Aßmann, 2009). Their appearance

and white meat are similar to those in marine species of high economic value like snappers (*Lutjanus sp*) and groupers (*Epinephelus sp*). The intensive culture in marine environment of red tilapia is justified by the growing limitation of freshwater, its popularity in the international market and the increase on demand.

The first introduction of red tilapia hybrid (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) in Cuba took place in 1979 from the Philippines. There were also two introductions of red tilapia from Israel (*Oreochromis aureus* x *O. mossambicus*), one in 1996 and another one in 2006. Currently, these two hybrids are grown in the country's freshwater stations. Also, pilot trials performed to fatten juveniles of Israeli red tilapia in floating cages of 4-6m³ to 35‰, which showed their farming potential in marine environment (Fraga *et al.*, 2012; Damas *et al.*, 2015). In this study, red tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) was selected because this hybrid combines fast-growing traits of *O. niloticus* with tolerance to salinities higher than 35‰ of *O. mossambicus*. In addition, its cultivation contributes to 15% of the total tilapia cultivated worldwide (reviewed by Masagounder, 2017).

Feedstuffs are limited for aquaculture industry in Cuba. The primary objective of this research was to evaluate the use of Cuban commercial aquaculture feeds in the seed production of red tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) adapted to marine environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Composition of diets and Chemical Analysis

Three commercial feeds were selected from ALISUR factory in Santa Cruz del Sur, Camagüey, Cuba. They were designated D10, D15 and D24 according to the inclusion levels of fishmeal (Table 1). The moisture, protein, lipid and ash levels in the test diets were determined using standard methods (AOAC, 2000).

Table 1. Ingredients and proximate composition (means ± standard deviation) of the experimental
diets for the red tilapia Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus.

Diet (g/100g wet weight)						
Ingredients	D10	D15	D24			
Fish meal	10	15	24			
Soy bean meal	40	35	31			
Whole wheat ground	42	42	36			
Soy oil	3	3	4			
Calcium phosphate	3	3	3			
Vitamins and mineral premix*	2	2	2			
P	roximate analysis (g kg	⁻¹ dry weight)				
Dry Matter	83.78 ± 0.32	83.66 ± 0.54	83.74 ± 0.38			
Crude protein	29.19 ± 0.57	30.14 ± 0.31	33.30 ± 0.43			
Crude lipid	4.87 ± 0.16	5.15 ± 0.12	6.51 ± 0.15			
Ash	3.94 ± 0.06	4.39 ± 0.08	5.50 ± 0.08			
NFE**	42.07 ± 1.03	40.57 ± 0.98	35.32 ± 0.94			
Gross energy*** (kJ/g)	14.99	15.04	15.34			

*Vitamins and mineral premix composition: Vitamin A, 1000 IU; Vitamin D3, 200 IU; Vitamin E, 150 g; Vitamin K3, 40 g; Vitamin B1, 20 g; Vitamin B3, 60 g; Vitamin B6, 40 g; Vitamin B12, 200 mg; Niacin, 400 g; Folic acid, 1000 mg; Biotin, 0,47 mg; Selenium, 0,4 g; Iron, 160 g; Manganese, 200 g; Zinc, 160 g; Copper, 30 g; Potassium chloride, 8 g; Manganese oxide, 1,2 g; Sodium bicarbonate, 3 g; Iodine, 2 g; Cobalt, 0,5 g.

**Nitrogen-free extract (including fiber) = 100 - (% protein + % lipid + % ash)

***Calculated according to the physiological fuel values of protein, 20.93 kJ/g; lipids, 37.68 kJ/g and nitrogenfree extract, 16.75 kJ/g (Shiau and Chou, 1991).

Fish rearing and feeding

Red tilapia fry were produced in a pilot-scale hatchery at the Aquaculture Technology Development Company, Cuba. The fish were selected with a 5mm mesh selector and then were submerged in a prophylactic bath of 1mL/L ammoniacal water (25% of active principle) during 30s. After 15min of repose in freshwater, fish were transferred in nylon bags to Nutrition Bioassay Laboratory of the Fisheries Research Center, Cuba.

The fish were randomly distributed at a stocking density of 40 animals (mean weight 0.14 ± 0.06 g) per tank among nine plastic tanks (volume 40L). Each tank had supplemental aeration (*Sweetwater*, USA). After three days for acclimatization to the lab conditions, adaptation to seawater was performed during the next ten days (Barreto-Curiel *et al.*, 2015). The salinity was increased in 5‰ every 24h adding seawater obtained from Mariel Experimental Marine Station, Cuba. For the salinity adjustment the following formula was used:

$$V = T x \frac{(S-A)}{(M-A)}$$
 (1)

where V: volume of water to replace; T: total volume of the tank; S: wanted salinity; M: seawater salinity; A: current salinity of the tank.

During acclimatization and adaptation to seawater, *Skretting* feed (Canada) with 55% of crude protein was manually supplied two times a day (11:00 and 16:00) until the fish were satiated. Uneaten food was collected from the bottom of the tank using a siphon 1h after the onset of feeding. Dead fish were recorded and weighed. Water quality parameters were measured daily using an oximeter YSI (USA). The dissolved oxygen level was maintained at 6.5 ± 0.4 mg/L and the water temperature was 28.7 ± 0.8 °C.

A completely randomized experimental design with three replications per treatment was used. A total of 360 previously adapted to seawater red tilapia fry, had two days of fasting before being fed with each commercial diet (Table 1). Pellets were ground and provided as powder. The feeding scheme and water exchange are shown in Table 2.

Culture days	% of biomass addition	Feeding frecuency	Hours	Daily seawater exchange (%)
1-15	30	3	9:00; 12:00; 15:00	12.5
16-30	10	3	9:00; 12:00; 15:00	25
31-45	10	3	9:00; 12:00; 15:00	33.3
46-55	7	4	9:00; 11:00; 13:00; 15:00	50

Table 2. Feeding scheme and daily seawater exchange along culture days.

The dissolved oxygen level was maintained at 5.5 ± 1.0 mg/L. Water temperature was 27.3 ± 1.3 °C and salinity was $36.0 \pm 1.3\%$ (measured with a manual refractometer (Krüss-Optronic, Germany)). Water samples were taken in the onset (control) and the end of the experiment for dissolved inorganic phosphate, total phosphorous, dissolved inorganic silicate, nitrite (Grasshoff, 2002), nitrate (APHA, 2017) ammonia and chemical oxygen demand (FAO, 1975) determination (Table 3). Ten fish per tank were weighed every two weeks to calculate their mean body weight and the biomass present in each tank. The fish were caught with scoop nets and weighed by groups on a digital scale (*Ohaus*, USA, accurate to ± 0.1 g).

Growth and feed efficiency of the fish were monitored in terms of weight gain (WG), biomass gain (BG), feed added (FA), protein intake (PI), feed conversion ratio (FCR), survival (S), specific growth rate (SGR), condition factor (K), and protein efficiency ratio (PER). These biological indicators were calculated as follows:

$$WG = final mean weight - inicial mean weight$$
 (2)

$$BG = final mean biomass - inicial mean biomass$$
 (3)

$$PI = crude \ protein \ of \ feed \ x \ FA \ per \ fish \tag{4}$$

$$FCR = \frac{FA}{BG}$$
(5)

$$S = \frac{final \ number \ of \ animals}{initial \ number \ of \ animals} \ x \ 100 \tag{6}$$

$$SGR = \frac{(\ln final weight - \ln initial weight)}{number of days} \ x \ 100 \tag{7}$$

$$K = \frac{weight}{total \, length^{\,2}} \, x \, 100 \tag{8}$$

$$PER = \frac{WG}{PI} \tag{9}$$

Length-weight relationship was determined at the end of the experiment for each group of red tilapia fingerling fed with the commercial diet through the equation (10):

$$\log length(cm) = a + b * \log weight(g)$$
 (10) (Mapenzi y Mmochi, 2016).

The cost of the feed was calculated from the prices of the ingredients reported in the international market (Table 6). Feeding costs were calculated by multiplying the cost of the feed by the FCR. It was considered that the cost of the feed was 60% of the total cost of production (Arru *et al.*,

2019). Production value was reported by the Aquaculture Technology Development Company, Cuba.

Data Analysis

All assays were performed in triplicate and were expressed as means \pm standard deviation (SD). All percentage data were arcsine transformed before statistical comparisons. Data for each parameter was tested for normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) and homoscedasticity (Bartlet test). Kruskal-Wallis and Nonparametric multiple means comparison tests were only used with phosphate data because they did not fit to the normal distribution. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with diet as the independent variable. Tukey HSD test was used as a post-hoc test to determine significant differences among dietary treatment groups (p < 0.05). All of the statistical procedures were performed using the STATISTICA version 7 software package.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Adaptation of red tilapia larvae to the marine environment

Red tilapia fry with less than 5mm of total length adapted to seawater (36‰) with a 99.58% survival rate in ten days. Some authors suggest that the adaptation of tilapia to high salinities is associated with variations in the concentrations of osmoregulatory hormones, the increase of organic osmolytes in the kidney and gills, glucose and plasma osmolarity, the proliferation and increase in size of the gill hydrochloric cells, increase in ATPase Na⁺/K⁺ activity and the balanced levels of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ in the blood plasma (Jumah *et al.*, 2016).

The high value of larval survival (99.58%) obtained in this study during adaptation to the marine environment, can be related to several factors. First, there are intrinsic characteristics of the hybrid. Tilapia hybrids had greater growth and survival in higher salinities compared to species (Martínez-Contreras, 2003). In the second instance, the size of the tilapia (0.1g larvae) when the transfer was made from 0 to 35‰ could also influence the larval survival. This work showed that red tilapia fry can be adapted to seawater with a high survival rate.

The method of gradual adaptation to higher salinities is also another determining factor of larval survival. The adaptation of juveniles of tilapia to seawater can be done directly from 0 ups to 15-20‰ or gradually, with daily increases of 4 to 5‰ (Martínez-Contreras, 2003). Sallam *et al.* (2017) showed that when the Florida red tilapia larvae (*O. mossambicus* x *O. urolepis hornorum*) are transferred directly to 36‰ (without gradual adaptation), from freshwater cultured breeders, 100% mortality occurs after the first week of exposure. Another study indicates that when breeders spawn in salinity of 30‰, the larval survival of red tilapia is 52.8% (Malik *et al.*, 2017). Several investigations proved that the method of gradual adaptation to higher salinities, although it consumes more water and requires more labor, is more effective in achieving the survival of fish (Martínez-Contreras, 2003; Fraga *et al.*, 2012).

Miraben, M., Toledo, S.J., Castro, A., Jaime, B.J.

Finally, another important element is the supply of good nutritional quality food during adaptation. Larval survival is the most critical step in the aquaculture industry of any species, especially when artificial diets are used, so fish larvae should be grown with live food to maximize survival. However, contrary to this approach, Maithya *et al.* (2017) report greater growth and survival for larvae of 1g of *O. variabilis* fed with artificial diets formulated than with phytoplankton. In this particular study, the red tilapia larvae were fed to satiety with *Skretting* feed of 55% crude protein and survival was not affected.

Nutritional characterization of commercial feed

The increase in demand for fishmeal, accompanied by the decline in global supply, has resulted in a continuous increase in the price of this component. For this reason, many efforts are currently being made to replace fishmeal by less expensive and available vegetable protein sources for aquaculture food production. Particular attention has been given to soybean meal because of its high protein content and essential amino acid profile. Soybean meal also has high digestibility (more than 90%) of proteins in the different species of tilapia. This ingredient has substituted up to 100% of fishmeal in diets to fatten tilapia (Fraga *et al.*, 2012; Ajani *et al.*, 2016). However, tilapia larvae require at least 5% inclusion of fishmeal or the sulfur and lysine amino acid supplement in feed (Masagounder, 2017). In this study, the selected feeds had between 30 and 40% soybean meal and at least 10% fishmeal.

All commercial diets tested in the experimental design were isoenergetic (14.99–15.34 kJ/g). The protein levels required for optimal growth may vary between different species and hybrids of tilapia and developmental stages (Larumbe-Morán *et al.*, 2010). The protein levels of the feeds tested were close to 30% (Table 1), a reported requirement value for larvae of the same *Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus* hybrid, grown at 35‰ (El-Dakar *et al.*, 2015). The nutritional requirements of tilapia cultured in marine environments have not been well studied.

The levels of dietary lipids in the evaluated feeds were between 4.59 and 6.51%. The optimal level of this nutrient is between 5 and 7.4% for *O. niloticus* cultured in freshwater. Omnivorous fish such as tilapia support deficiencies of essential fatty acids for relatively long periods without affecting growth, due to their ability harnessing dietary carbohydrates to increase glycolysis and provide substrates for liver lipogenesis (He *et al.*, 2015).

Water quality parameters

Silicate concentrations in the culture water did not show any significant difference (p > 0.05) among different diet treatments, while the others compounds evaluated showed higher values in treatments than in control seawater (Table 3).

Parameters	Treatments					
(mg/L)	Control	D10	D15	D24	р	
Nitrite NO2 ⁻	0.0036 ± 0.0001^{a}	1.103 ± 0.460^{b}	1.049 ± 0.341^{b}	$1.489\pm0.336^{\text{b}}$	0.009	
Nitrate NO ₃ -	$0.18\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	2.927 ± 1.242^{b}	3.093 ± 0.978^{b}	3.897 ± 0.862^{b}	0.005	
Ammonia NH4+	0.006 ± 0.001^{a}	1.008 ± 0.537^{b}	$1.238\pm0.478^{\mathrm{b}}$	2.357 ± 0.855^{b}	0.006	
Silicate SiO ₃ ²⁻	1.25 ± 0.02	0.743 ± 0.402	0.373 ± 0.613	0.86 ± 0.502	0.052	
Phosphate PO ₄ ³⁻	$0.06\pm0.009^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.25 ± 0.429^{b}	1.307 ± 0.280^{b}	1.247 ± 0.361^{b}	0.011	
ТР	$0.35\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	2.023 ± 0.038^{b}	2.423 ± 0.270^{b}	1.947 ± 0.620^{b}	0.043	
COD	$14.38\pm0.23^{\rm a}$	21.520 ± 2.649^{b}	27.096 ± 2.277^{bc}	$31.523 \pm 3.812^{\circ}$	0.0002	

Table 3. Water quality parameters of red tilapias (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) fed different diets (treatments).

Data from each group are expressed as means \pm SD. p: p-value determined by one-way ANOVA except for phosphate data (Kruskal-Wallis test). Values in the same row with different superscript (a < b < c) are significantly different (p < 0.05). TP: total phosphorous, COD: chemical oxygen demand.

Temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen were within the range tolerable by tilapia in culture. Nitrite values in this study were according to Gustavsson (2016). Nitrate and ammonium levels in treatments did not have a negative effect for tilapia development because sodium chloride decreased their toxicity (Caldini, 2015). It is important to ensure a daily seawater exchange over 50% to maintain good water quality after 45 days of culture.

Growth performance and feed utilization

Red tilapia fry were grown at 36‰ during 55 days. Survival rates were greater than 90% for the three treatments, at the end of the experiment. No significant differences (p > 0.05) were detected among the indicators evaluated with the different levels of fishmeal inclusion in feed (Table 4). This evidenced that 10% of fishmeal inclusion in feed is enough to provide the nutritional requirements for red tilapia fry grown in marine environment.

 Table 4. Growth and feed efficiency of red tilapias (Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus) reared in seawater and fed commercial diets for 55 days.

Diet	FW (g)	WG (g)	FCR	S (%)	SGR (%/day)	K	PER
D10	5.55	5.41	1.03	94.2	6.69	1.87	3.58
D15	5.79	5.65	1.07	94.2	6.76	1.79	3.33
D24	5.92	5.78	1.04	91.7	6.81	1.85	3.17
SE	0.167	0.106	0.018	0.82	0.035	0.019	0.115
р	0.651	0.818	0.747	0.708	0.389	0.183	0.403

Data from each group are expressed as means. SE: Standard Error; p: p-value determined by one-way ANOVA; FW: Final weight; WG: Weight gain; FCR: Factor conversion ratio; S: Survival; SGR: Specific growth rate; K: Condition factor; PER: Protein efficiency ratio.

Some authors reported that red tilapia (*O. niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) grew faster in freshwater than in marine environments, even with differences between strains. For example, in freshwater, tilapia from Taiwan had greater growth than those from the Philippines and Thailand; but in marine environment (30‰), the strains of the Philippines and Thailand surpassed the strain of Taiwan (Pongthana *et al.*, 2010). On the other hand, Martínez-Contreras (2003) showed that the

Miraben, M., Toledo, S.J., Castro, A., Jaime, B.J.

hybrid *O. niloticus* x *O. mossambicus* in seawater had a faster growth rate than parental species and is therefore the best option to grow in a marine environment. In that study, juveniles of 60g average weight were transferred directly to sea cages and in three months of intensive cultivation they reached more than 500g with a survival of 86% and FCR of 1.75, suitable for commercial purposes.

The findings of this study show the potential of the hybrid to grow rapidly in seawater. Red tilapia fingerling at the end of this bioassay weighed more than Nile Gift tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) of 0.143g of initial mean weight, grown in freshwater and fed during 60 days with a diet of 12% fishmeal and 40% soybean meal (30.4% crude protein) (Llanes *et al.*, 2015). Besides, the specific growth rates (in body weight) of the red tilapias grown in the present experiment were greater than 6%/day (Table 4). Larvae of 0.29g of the hybrid *O. niloticus* x *O. urolepis urolepis* grown at 35‰ had an SGR of 6.12%/day (Mapenzi and Mmochi, 2016). Odinga *et al.* (2018) obtained values from 1.96 to 5.08%/day for larvae up to 0.8g of the same species grown in freshwater with 45% protein feed. SGR may vary with the days of culture and with the diet (Maithya *et al.*, 2017). It is greater in larval stages and with diets which include the protein requirements of the species.

The FCR obtained in this experiment with D10, D15 and D24 diets, were close to 1 (Table 4) and they were similar to the reported values for larvae of *O. niloticus* grown in laboratory conditions at 0, 15, 20 and 25‰ and fed a 30% crude protein diet (Larumbe-Morán *et al.*, 2010). Among the elements that improve consumption and therefore the FCR are management practices, environmental conditions, food quality, inherent genetic factors and the physiological condition of farmed fish (Eriegha and Ekokotu, 2017).

The condition factor K provides information on the variation of the physiological state of the fish. It should be close to or above 1 for fish in culture (Olufeagba *et al.*, 2016). The K values of red tilapia fry grown in seawater with commercial feed were between 1.79 and 1.87, without differences. These values indicate good health during the experiment.

The PER indicates how much protein is used from the food supplied to increase body weight. Protein utilization decreases with increasing dietary protein levels and with larger sizes of tilapia. In larvae of 0.5g of Nile tilapia grown in freshwater, the highest value of PER was 2.35 and was obtained with a diet of 25% crude protein; however, it reached greater growth with the diet of 45% (Abdel-Tawwab *et al.*, 2010). Although PER differs among species, the values obtained in this study (Table 4) are similar to those reported (3.62) by Larumbe-Morán *et al.* (2010) for larvae of *O. niloticus* cultured with 30% crude protein diets at 25‰.

In this study the coefficients "b" were approximately equal to 3 and showed that red tilapia hybrids slightly exhibited isometric growth in all dietary treatments. The values range from 2.86-2.98 (Table 5) and are in accordance with those reported by Mapenzi and Mmochi (2016). The

regression analyses showed strong correlation (p < 0.001) in hybrids weights and lengths at all dietary treatment.

Table 5. Parameters for fingerling red tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*) lengthweight ratio at different diet treatments.

Diet	а	b	\mathbb{R}^2	r	Ν
D10	-1.67	2.89	0.97	0.98	113
D15	-1.65	2.86	0.93	0.96	113
D24	-1.77	2.98	0.97	0.98	110

R²: determination coefficient; r: correlation coefficient; N: number of animals; a: intercept; b: coefficient

Global efforts are made to replace fishmeal and fish oil in aquaculture feed. Various studies have contributed to the evaluation of soybean meal as a possible feasible alternative to be included in practical diets for tilapia (Ajani *et al.*, 2016; Sharda *et al.*, 2017). Currently, the levels of fishmeal (1.5%) and fish oil (0.5%) for tilapia feeding in China are low compared to other farmed fish species (Rome-Peebles, 2018). Although the level of protein is more important than the source of protein in the diet, there are still limitations to the complete replacement of fishmeal for soybean meal (Daniel, 2018).

Table 6. International market prices of ingredients of Cuban commercial diets in February, 2019 (<u>https://www.indexmundi.com/es/precios-de-mercado/</u>), and the economic analysis.

Ingredients	Cost (USD/ton)	Variables (USD/ton)	D10	D15	D24
Fish meal	1 472	Feed cost	611	690	847
Soy bean meal	353	Feeding cost	629	738	881
Whole wheat ground	219	Total cost of production	1048	1230	1468
Soy oil	100	Production value 3400 3400 3		3400	
Calcium phosphate	773	Utilities* 2352 2170		1932	
Vitamins and mineral premix	1500	*Utilities were calculated as Production value minus Total cost of production			

Economic evaluation of the feeding trials after 55 days (Table 6) showed that using low fishmeal diet lowered the cost of feed, therefore, the profit indices of the fishes fed D10 increased. Based on performance of red tilapia fry fed with the commercial diets, the use of D10 for scale-pilot experiment is recommended. This study represents the first research conducted in Cuba to culture red tilapia fry in seawater. The present work may serve as a reference when evaluating other practical diets or imported feed, until basic nutritional studies are conducted.

CONCLUSION

The results of this work showed that red tilapia *O. niloticus* x *O. mossambicus* fry adapted to the marine environment can be culture with feed produced nationally. The use of D10 resulted in a lower cost for food. These findings would allow the development of marine aquaculture in Cuba.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was funded by Project "Production and management of balanced diets for marine aquaculture in Cuba" of Fisheries Research Center. We thank José Llanes Iglesias PhD. for his recommendations to improve this work and Alejandro Ávila Martínez for the English correction

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Tawwab, M., Ahmad, M. H., Khattab, Y. A. E., & Shalaby, A. M. E. (2010). Effect of dietary protein level, initial body weight, and their interaction on the growth, feed utilization, and physiological alterations of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture*, 298, 267–274. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.10.027</u>
- Ajani, E. K., Orisasona, O., Omitoyin, B. O., & Osho, E. F. (2016). Total replacement of fishmeal by soybean meal with or without methionine fortification in the diets of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* [Abstract]. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 11(3), 238-243. DOI: 10.3923/jfas.2016.238.243
- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) (2000). *Official Methods of Analysis*.17th Edition, Gaithersburg, MD, United States.
- APHA (2017). Standard methods for the examination of waters and waste waters. 21th Ed. Part: 4500-NO₃H. Automated Hydracine Reduction Method.
- Arru, B., Furesi, R., Gasco, L., Madau, F. A., & Pulina, P. (2019). The Introduction of Insect Meal into Fish Diet: The First Economic Analysis on European Sea Bass Farming. *Sustainability*, 11, 1697. DOI: 10.3390/su11061697
- Aßmann, S. (2009). Feeding behavior of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) according to feeds made of locally available agriculture by-products in Kenya, East Africa. Tesis en opción al grado de Maestría, University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences, Vienna. <u>https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=3&cad=rja&uact =8&ved=2ahUKEwiVy6iM1_PoAhVYnJ4KHbphAF8QFjACegQIBBAB&url=https%3A %2F%2Fzidapps.boku.ac.at%2Fabstracts%2Fdownload.php%3Fdataset_id%3D7185%26 property_id%3D107&usg=AOvVaw2hQIMxV7WrgTiofxR0e_N7</u>
- Barreto-Curiel, F., Durazo, E., & Viana, M. T. (2015). Crecimiento, excreción de amonio y consumo de oxígeno de la tilapia híbrida roja (*Oreochromis mossambicus× Oreochromis aureus*) cultivada en agua de mar y en agua dulce. *Cienc. Mar.*, 41(3), 247–254. http://dx.doi.org/10.7773/cm.v41i3.2526

- Caldini, N., Cavalcante, D. H., Nogueira-Rocha, P. R, & do Carmo, M. V. (2015). Feeding Nile tilapia with artificial diets and dried bioflocs biomass. *Maringá*, 37(4), 335-341. https://doi.org/10.4025/actascianimsci.v37i4.27043
- Damas, T., Portales, A., Millares, N., & Días, G. (2015). Mejoramiento genético de tilapia (Oreochromismossambicusx O. aureus) y su cría en ambiente marino. *Rev. Cub. Inv. Pesq.*, 32(1), 40-47. <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1834/9400</u>
- Daniel, N. (2018). A review on replacing fish meal in aqua feeds using plant protein sources. *IJFAS*, 6(2), 164-179. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324006059_A_re-view_on_replacing_fish_meal_in_aqua_feeds_using_plant_protein_sources</u>
- El–Dakar, A.Y., Shalaby, S.M.A., & Elmonem, A.I.A. (2015). Growth performance and feed utilization of hybrid red tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus) x *Oreochromis mossambicus* (*Peters*) fed different dietary protein and energy levels under rearing in seawater conditions. *Mediterr. Aquacult. J.*, 7, 12-21. https://maj.journals.ekb.eg/article_4629_276d521cb3db425ece386e5a9447ab76.pdf
- Eriegha, O. J., & Ekokotu, P. A. (2017). Factors affecting feed intake in cultured fish species: a review. *ARI*, 14(2), 2697–2709.
- FAO (2018). El estado mundial de la pesca y la acuicultura 2018. Cumplir los objetivos de desarrollo sostenible. http://www.fao.org/3/i9540es/i9540es.pdf
- FAO (1975). Manual of methods in aquatic environment research. pp 145-151; 169-171.
- Flores-Gutiérrez, E. R., Hoyum, M., Rodríguez-Cruzata, P., Lunestad, B. T., Perdomo-Villalobos, L., Karlsen, O., Álvarez-Hernández, M. Y., Rodríguez-Góngora, G. M., Isla-Molleda, M., Silveira-Coffigny, M., & Martínez-Alfonso, Y. (2016). Manejo postcosecha de la cobia de cultivo en la Bahía de Cochinos. *Rev. Cub. Inv. Pesq.*, 33(1), 72-75. <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1834/10278</u>
- Fraga, I., Flores, E. R., Reyes, R., & Llanes, Y. (2012). Efecto de diferentes densidades de siembra en el engorde de tilapia roja (*Oreochromismossambicus* x *O. aureus*) en jaulas colocadas en la bahía de Casilda, Cuba. *Rev. Invest. Mar.*, 32(1), 16-23. <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1834/4334</u>
- Grasshoff (2002). Methods in Seawater Analysis. 3er ed. pp 170-203.
- Gustavsson, H. (2016). Locally available protein sources in diets of Niles tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). A study of growth performance in the Mekong Delta in Vietnam. Master Thesis. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/4594/4876fab3ea39539f127e019ac6be9ba8646f.pdf

- He, A. Y., Ning, L. J., Chen, L. Q., Chen, Y. L., Xing, Q., Li, J. M., Qiao, F., Li, D. L., Zhang, M. L., & Du, Z. Y. (2015). Systemic adaptation of lipid metabolism in response tolow-and high-fat diet in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Physiol. Rep.*, 3(8). DOI:10.14814/phy2.12485
- Jumah, Y. U., Traifalgar, R. F. M., Monteclaro, H. M., Sanares, R. C., Jumah, D. S. U., & Mero, F. F. C. (2016). Influence of hyperosmotic culture conditions on osmoregulatory ions, gill chloride cells and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. AACL Bioflux, 9(3), 498-506. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/docs/2016.498-506.pdf
- Larumbe-Morán, E., Hernandez-Vergara, M. P., Olvera-Novoa, M. A., & Perez-Rostro, C. I. (2010). Protein requirements of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fry cultured at different salinities. *Aquacult. Res.*, 41, 1150-1157. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02402.x</u>
- Llanes, J., Toledo, J., & Hernández, U. (2015). Evaluación de concentrado proteico de subproductos cárnicos para postlarvas de tilapias del Nilo GIFT (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Rev. Cub. Inv. Pesq.* 32(1), 17-21. <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1834/9388</u>
- Maithya, J., Mbithi, N. M., & Wanjala, P. (2017). Growth performance of *Oreochromis variabilis* larvae: A case study of effect of live and formulated diets on growth and survival rates. *Int. J. Fish. Aquac.*, 9(2), 14-23. DOI: <u>10.5897/IJFA2016.0553</u>
- Malik, A., Abbas, G., Kalhoro, H., Kalhoro, I. B., Shah, S. S. A., & Kalhoro, H. (2017).
 Optimum salinity level for seed production and survival of red tilapia (hybrid) in concrete tanks. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 49(3), 1049-1056. DOI: 10.17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.3.1049.1056
- Mapenzi, L. L., & Mmochi, A. J. (2016). Role of salinity on growth performance of Oreochromis niloticus ♀ and Oreochromis urolepis urolepis ♂ hybrids. J. Aquac. Res. Development, 7(6), 1-6. DOI: <u>10.4172/2155-9546.1000431</u>
- Martínez-Contreras, T. M. (2003). Adaptación y crecimiento de las tilapias *Oreochromis niloticus*, *Oreochromis aureus* y *Oreochromis niloticus* x *Oreochromis mossambicus* en agua salada. Tesis para optar por el grado de Doctor en Ciencias Pecuarias. Universidad de Colima, Colima, México. <u>https://sistemas.ucol.mx/tesis_posgrado/resumen1477.htm</u>
- Masagounder, K. (2017). Amino acid recommendations for tilapia: a review of available data and principle behind Aminotilapia. *Amino News*, 21(2), 1-15. <u>https://animal-nutrition.evonik.com/product/feeddditives/downloads/aminonews_2017_2_aminotilapia_en.pdf</u>

Commercial Cuban feed evaluation for red tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus x O. mossambicus) fry culture in seawater

- Odinga, S., Ogutu, P., & Sifuna, A. (2018). Conditions for high growth rates of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fry in Western Kenya. *IJFAS*, 6(3), 287-291. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325549799_Conditions_for_high_growth_rate_of_Nile_tilapia_Oreochromis_niloticus_fry_in_Western_Kenya</u>
- Olufeagba, S. O., Okomoda, V. T., & Shaibu, G. (2016). Embryogenesis and early growth of pure strains and hybrids between *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) and *Heterobranchus longifilis* (Valenciennes, 1840). North Am. J. Aquacult., 78(4), 346–355. https://doi.org/10.1080/15222055.2016.1194926
- ONEI (Oficina Nacional de Estadística e Información). (2017). Anuario Estadístico de Cuba 2016. Capítulo 9: Agricultura, Ganadería, Silvicultura y Pesca. http://www.one.cu/aec2016/09%20Agricultura%20Ganaderia%20Silvicultura%20Pesca.p df
- Pongthana, N., Nguyen, N. H., & Ponzoni, R. W. (2010). Comparative performance of four red tilapia strains and their crosses in fresh- and saline water environments. *Aquaculture*, 308(S1), S109-S114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.07.033</u>
- Rome-Peebles, D. (2018). Tilapia (*Oreochromis spp.*) China Ponds. <u>https://www.seafoodwatch.org//m/sfw/pdf/reports/t/mba_seafoodwatch_tilapiachinareport</u> <u>.pdf</u>
- Sallam, G. R., Fayed, W. A., El-Absawy, M. A., Aly, H. A., & El-Greisy, Z. A. (2017). Red tilapia broodstocks and larval production under different water salinities without acclimation. J. Aquac. Res. Development, 8, 476-481. DOI: 10.4172/2155-9546.1000476
- Sharda, Sharma, O. P., & Saini, V. P. (2017). Replacement of fishmeal with soybean meal in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) diet. J. Entomol. Zool. Stud., 5(4), 845-849. <u>http://www.entomoljournal.com/archives/?year=2017&vol=5&issue=4&ArticleId=2147</u>
- Shiau, S.Y., & Chou, B.S. (1991). Effects of dietary protein and energy on growth performance of tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) reared in seawater. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 57*, 2271-2276. <u>https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/suisan1932/57/12/57_12_2271/_pdf</u>

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Conception and design of research: MMC, SJTP, ACF, BJJC. Data analysis and interpretation: MMC, SJTP, ACF, BJJC. Redaction of the manuscript: MMC, SJTP, ACF, BJJC.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interests